

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Ch

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,841	10/25/2000		Ray Herrera	STONEST.001A	4465
20995	7590	10/07/2002			
KNOBBE 1	MARTEN	IS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN	TH FLOO	R	POLLARD, STEVEN M		
IRVINE, CA	92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/696,841**

Applicant(s)

Herrera

Examiner

Steven Pollard

Art Unit **3727**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	or Reply	TO EVENE	2	NAONTHIC EDONA			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
- If the pe	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th						
	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th						
- Any rep	bly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Autorit Contraction Control Control (A)						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			<u> </u>			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti	ion is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par						
Dispositi	ion of Claims			i			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are s	subject 1	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	or b)	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held	in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) 🗆 ar	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action	on.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗆	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
1	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.					
2	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	in Appl	ication No			
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have t au (PCT Rule 17	peen red .2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage			
*Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies	s not re	ceived.			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C	. § 119(e).			
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme							
~	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> </u>		413) Paper No(s)			
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nal Patent	Application (PTO-152)			
3) [X] Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)3	6) U Other:					

Art Unit: 3727

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over well known landscape members in view of Leguillon.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed a key storage slot in a landscape member such as a rock in view of the key storage slot teaching set forth in Leguillon, motivated by the ability to hide a key. It is widely accepted to hide a key under a landscape member such as a rock. To have employed a closure member for the slot would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, motivated by the retention achieved thereby when employing the slot under the landscape member. To have employed a ribbed rubber strip with an inclined end as a closure member would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, motivated by the retention achieved thereby and the ease of insertion into the slot. The particular slot shape would have been an obvious matter of design choice, producing no new and unobviouis results in the above set forth device. The method claimed would have been the obvious method of making the above set forth device.

Art Unit: 3727

3. The references to Bennett, Stiller, and Lind have been cited to further show related structure.

Steven M. Pollard

30 September 2002

Steven Pollard

Steven Pollard
Primary Examiner